Introduction to Machine and Deep Learning using python

TECHOLUG

<u>Loriano Storchi</u>

University of Chieti-Pescara INFN (Istituto Nazionale Di Fisica Nucleare) sez. Perugia CNR SCITEC (Istituto di Scienze e Tecnologie Chimiche "Giulio Natta") sez. Perugia

My activities

- Four Component Dirac-Kohn-Sham Theory (BERTHA code) **CNR and UNIPG** \bigcirc Machine Learning and Chemoinformatics UNIPG and MolDiscovery TECHOLUG 0 HEP (High Energy Physics) - ML techniques and FPGA (Field-programmable gate array) and Cloud Computing **INFN and CERN** \bigcirc **Bio and Chemoinformatics**
 - UNICH



- Scikit-learn (also known as sklearn) is a popular and powerful open-source Python library for machine learning. It provides a wide range of tools.
- **Pandas** is a powerful and versatile open-source Python library for data manipulation and analysis. It provides high-performance, easy-to-use data structures and data analysis tools.
- TensorFlow An open-source library developed by Google for numerical computation and large-scale machine learning.. msily for deep neural networks
- Keras A high-level API for building and training neural networks
- **Matplotlib** is a comprehensive and widely-used plotting library in Python.
- NumPy: a fundamental library for scientific computing in Python. It provides:

- ML Introduction
- Unsupervised techniques
- Reinforcement Learning
- Supervised Techniques
 - LR and PLS and RF and GPR
 Deep Learning
 - NN
 CNN
 Interpretable ML
 Working Examples

ML Introduction

- Unsupervised techniques
- Reinforcement Learning
- Supervised Techniques
 - LR and PLS and RF and GPR 1
 Deep Learning
 - NN
 CNN
 Interpretable ML
 Working Examples

Machine Learning

Machine learning techniques can be divided into two foremost types:

- **Unsupervised**: find hidden patterns or intrinsic structures in data. They are used to draw inferences from data sets consisting of input data without labeled responses (i.e. clustering algorithms)
- Reinforcement Learning: the algorithms learn to react to an environment on their own. An agent is in a situation of trial and error, where the consequences of its actions have an impact on the environment and also on the problem's goal. The agent is punished or rewarded on the basis of its behavior, with the idea that, in the future, it will prefer optimal actions (i.e. our intelligent cache system)
- Supervised: used when you want to predict or explain the data you possess. A supervised algorithm takes a known set of input data and known responses to the data (output) and trains a model to generate reasonable predictions







Machine Learning

Traditional Programming



Machine Learning



A machine learning approach to detecting odd and even numbers, such as using a binary classification model trained on a ECHO dataset of numbers and their parity, differs from the standard approach, which involves dividing the number by 2 and checking the remainder.

Machine Learning - Features

Features, also known as descriptors, are the input variables used to make predictions. In cheminformatics, features often include molecular weight, chemical structure, and physical properties. They can be calculated or experimentally determined. Careful selection of features is crucial for model performance. Feature engineering techniques can improve model accuracy.



Machine Learning



- ML Introduction
- Unsupervised techniques
- Reinforcement Learning
- Supervised Techniques
 - LR and PLS and RF and GPR 1
 Deep Learning
 - NN
 CNN
 Interpretable ML
 Working Examples

Machine Learning

Machine learning techniques can be divided into two foremost types:

- **Unsupervised**: find hidden patterns or intrinsic structures in data. They are used to draw inferences from data sets consisting of input data without labeled responses (i.e. clustering aigorithms)
- **Reinforcement Learning**. the algorithms learn to react to an environment on their own. An agent is in a situation of trial and error, where the consequences of its actions have an impact on the environment and also on the problem's goal. The agent is punished or rewarded on the basis of its behavior, with the idea that, in the future, it will prefer optimal actions (i.e. our intelligent cache system)
- **Supervised**: used when you want to predict or explain the data you possess. A supervised algorithm takes a known set of input data and known responses to the data (output) and trains a model to generate reasonable predictions







Unsupervised Machine Learning

- Clustering: Clustering algorithms group similar data points together based on their inherent structure or features. Some popular clustering methods include:
 - K-Means Clustering: Partitions data into 'k' clusters, where each data point belongs
 to the cluster with the nearest mean.
- Dimensionality Reduction: Techniques for reducing the number of features (dimensions) in a dataset while retaining as much information as possible. This can help with visualization, noise removal, and improving the performance of other ML algorithms. Some widely used methods are:
 - Principal Component Analysis (PCA): Transforms data into a new set of uncorrelated variables (principal components) that capture the maximum variance in the data.



Clustering K-means

Two-dimensional data can be easily visualized for intuitive understanding.

minimize within-cluster K-means aims to between-cluster^{JG} distance and maximize distance when considering we are a N-dimensional space.



Clustering K-means

In k-means clustering, the objects are divided into several clusters mentioned by the number 'K.' So if we say K = 2, the objects are divided into two clusters, c1 and c2

- The features or characteristics are compared, and all objects having similar characteristics are clustered together.
- The algorithm works by first randomly picking some central points (called centroids) and then assigning every data point to the nearest centroid.



• Once that's done, it recalculates the centroids based on the new groupings and repeats the process until the clusters make sense

Clustering K-means

Grouping Similar Data Points

K-Means is designed to cluster data points that share common traits, allowing

patterns or trends to emerge.



Minimizing Within-Cluster Distance Keep data points in each group as close to the cluster's centroid as possible

Maximizing Between-Cluster Distance K-Means also aims to maintain clear separation between different clusters.

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import random

x = [] y = []

for i in range(10):
 x.append(random.randint(1, 30))
 y.append(random.randint(1, 30))

plt.scatter(x, y)
plt.show()







The elbow method shows that 3 is a good value for K, so we retrain and visualize the result:

inertia is a key concept that measures the compactness of your clusters. Think of it as a way to quantify how tightly grouped the data points are within each cluster **Inertia is calculated** by summing the squared distances between each data point and its assigned cluster center (centroid). **Find the Elbow:** Look for the point on the curve where the rate of decrease in inertia starts to slow down significantly. This point resembles an elbow, hence the name "elbow method.



kmeans = KMeans(n_clusters=3)
kmeans.fit(data)

plt.scatter(x, y, c=kmeans.labels_)
plt.show()
301



plt.show()



Principal component analysis (PCA)

Principal component analysis, or PCA, is a statistical procedure that allows you to summarize the information content in large data tables by means of a smaller set of "summary indices"

- Principal components are new variables that are constructed as linear combinations or mixtures of the initial variables.
- These combinations are done in such a way that the new variables (i.e., principal components) are uncorrelated and most of the information within the initial variables is squeezed or compressed into the first components

Practically it consists on a diagonalization of the covariance matrix



How can you observe from the figure, the first principal component (PC 1) is in the direction **of** maximum variance and its origin is located in the average value of the variable. The residual variance is represented by the second principal component (PC 2), in the direction perpendicular to the first component.

UC Irvine Machine Learning Repository

import pandas as pd

url = "https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/iris/iris.data"

features = ['sepal length','sepal width','petal length','petal width']
label = ['target']

allnames = []
allnames.extend(features)
allnames.extend(label)

```
df = pd.read_csv(url, names=allnames)
print(df.head())
print(df.columns)
```

UC Irvine Machine Learning Repository The data set contains 3 classes of N instances each, where each class refers to a type of iris plant

sepal lengthsepal widthpetal lengthpetal widthtarget05.13.51.40.2Iris-setosa14.93.01.40.2Iris-setosa24.73.21.30.2Iris-setosa34.63.11.50.2Iris-setosa45.03.61.40.2Iris-setosaIndex(['sepal length', 'sepal width', 'petal length', 'petal width', 'tar						
0 5.1 3.5 1.4 0.2 Iris-setosa 1 4.9 3.0 1.4 0.2 Iris-setosa 2 4.7 3.2 1.3 0.2 Iris-setosa 3 4.6 3.1 1.5 0.2 Iris-setosa 4 5.0 3.6 1.4 0.2 Iris-setosa Index(['sepal length', 'sepal width', 'petal length', 'petal width', 'tar	sepal length	sepal width	petal length	petal width	target	
1 4.9 3.0 1.4 0.2 Iris-setosa 2 4.7 3.2 1.3 0.2 Iris-setosa 3 4.6 3.1 1.5 0.2 Iris-setosa 4 5.0 3.6 1.4 0.2 Iris-setosa Index(['sepal length', 'sepal width', 'petal length', 'petal width', 'tar	0 5.1	3.5	1.4	0.2	Iris-setosa	
2 4.7 3.2 1.3 0.2 Iris-setosa 3 4.6 3.1 1.5 0.2 Iris-setosa 4 5.0 3.6 1.4 0.2 Iris-setosa Index(['sepal length', 'sepal width', 'petal length', 'petal width', 'tar	1 4.9	3.0	1.4	0.2	Iris-setosa	
34.63.11.50.2 Iris-setosa45.03.61.40.2 Iris-setosaIndex(['sepal length', 'sepal width', 'petal length', 'petal width', 'tar	2 4.7	3.2	1.3	0.2	Iris-setosa	
4 5.0 3.6 1.4 0.2 Iris-setosa Index(['sepal length', 'sepal width', 'petal length', 'petal width', 'tar	3 4.6	3.1	1.5	0.2	Iris-setosa	
Index(['sepal length', 'sepal width', 'petal length', 'petal width', 'tar	4 5.0	3.6	1.4	0.2	Iris-setosa	
	Index(['sepal le	ngth', 'sepal	width', 'petal	.length', 'p	etal width',	'tar



Scale the data so: mean = 0 and variance = 1

from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler

x = df.loc[:, features].values y = df.loc[:,label].values print(x[0:5]) print() x = StandardScaler().fit_transform(x) print(x[0:5])

Scale the data so: mean = 0 and variance = 1

 $\begin{bmatrix} [5.1 & 3.5 & 1.4 & 0.2] \\ [4.9 & 3. & 1.4 & 0.2] \\ [4.7 & 3.2 & 1.3 & 0.2] \\ [4.6 & 3.1 & 1.5 & 0.2] \\ [5. & 3.6 & 1.4 & 0.2] \end{bmatrix}$

[[-0.90068117 1.03205722 -1.3412724 -1.31297673] [-1.14301691 -0.1249576 -1.3412724 -1.31297673] [-1.38535265 0.33784833 -1.39813811 -1.31297673] [-1.50652052 0.10644536 -1.2844067 -1.31297673] [-1.02184904 1.26346019 -1.3412724 -1.31297673]]



Run the PCA

Calculates the principal components projects your data onto the principal components

from sklearn.decomposition import PCA

finaldf2d = pd.concat([pcsdf2d, df[label]], axis = 1)
finaldf3d = pd.concat([pcsdf3d, df[label]], axis = 1)
print(finaldf2d.head())
print(finaldf3d.head())

Run the PCA

		PC 1	PC 2	targ	et
	0	-2.264542	0.505704	Iris-seto	sa
	1	-2.086426	-0.655405	Iris-seto	sa
	2	-2.367950	-0.318477	Iris-seto	sa
1 m	3	-2.304197	-0.575368	Iris-setosa	
10	4	-2.388777	0.674767	Iris-setosa	
		PC 1	PC 2	PC 3	target
	0	-2.264542	0.505704	-0.121943	Iris-setosa
	1	-2.086426	-0.655405	-0.227251	Iris-setosa
	2	-2.367950	-0.318477	0.051480	Iris-setosa
	3	-2.304197	-0.575368	0.098860	Iris-setosa
	4	-2.388777	0.674767	0.021428	Iris-setosa

Use the PCA to represent the data (clustering)

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

```
fig = plt.figure(figsize = (8,8))
ax = fig.add_subplot(1,1,1)
ax.set_xlabel('PC 1', fontsize = 15)
ax.set_ylabel('PC 2', fontsize = 15)
ax.set_title('2D PCA', fontsize = 20)
```

```
stargets = set(df[label].values.flatten())
targets = list(stargets)
assert len(targets) == 3
colors = ['r', 'g', 'b']
```



3D plot of the PCA
fig = plt.figure(figsize = (8,8))
ax = fig.add_subplot(111, projection='3d')
ax.set_xlabel('PC 1', fontsize = 15)
ax.set_ylabel('PC 2', fontsize = 15)
ax.set_zlabel('PC 3', fontsize = 15)
ax.set_title('3D PCA', fontsize = 20)


print(pca2d.explained_variance_ratio_)
print(pca2d.explained_variance_ratio_.sum())

```
print(pca3d.explained_variance_ratio_)
print(pca3d.explained_variance_ratio_.sum())
```

```
N = 3
ind = np.arange(1,N+1)
width = 0.25
```

```
d2vals = list(pca2d.explained_variance_ratio_)
d2vals.extend([0])
plt.bar(ind, d2vals, width, color = 'r')
d3vals = pca3d.explained_variance_ratio_
plt.bar(ind+width, d3vals, width, color='g')
```

```
plt.xlabel("Components")
plt.ylabel('Explained Variance Ratio')
plt.title("Explained Variance Ratio by Components")
```

```
plt.xticks(ind+(width/2),['PC 1', "PC 2", "PC 3"])
plt.show()
```



Importance of the features within each components





Component-specific importance: This method provides the importance of each feature within a specific principal component. A feature might be important in one PC but less important in another

print the coefficients of the first two PC
print(pca2d.components_)
for i in range(pca2d.components_.shape[0]):
 print("PC " , i+1, "= ")
 for j in range(pca2d.components_.shape[1]):
 print(" %6.2f"%(pca2d.components_[i,j]**2) ,\
 " * ", features[j])

Co	omponer	t_s	necific	im	nortar	ice: Thi	s method ni	rovides the	imnortance
of	each fe]]	0.5223	716	2 -0.2	6335492	0.58125401	0.56561105	i emight
hc	imnort [,]]	0.3723	183	5 0.9	2555649	0.02109478	0.06541577]]
	, importa	PC	1 =						
•	-		0.27	*	sepal	length			
	<pre># print</pre>		0.07	*	sepal	width			
	print(p		0.34	*	petal	length			
	for i i		0.32	*	petal	width			
	pri	PC	2 =						
	for		0.14	*	sepal	length			
			0.86	*	sepal	width			
			0.00	*	petal	length			
			0.00	*	petal	width			

Dimensionality reduction when using a Supervised technique

from sklearn.model selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.linear model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn import metrics

```
from sklearn.model selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.linear model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn import metrics
```

```
x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(\
```

```
x, y, test_size=0.2, random_state=0)
```

```
trainsamples = x_train.shape[0]
```

```
testsamples = x_test.shape[0]
```

```
logreg = LogisticRegression()
```

```
print(x train.shape)
```

```
print(y train.shape)
```

```
logram fit/v train v train rechang(traincamples))
```

```
y p (120, <u>4)</u>
```

```
pri (120, 1)
```

```
Accuracy of logistic regression classifier on test set: 1.00
```

```
for n in range(1, x.shape[1]+1):
   x = df.loc[:, features].values
         pca = PCA(n components=n)
   x t = pca.fit transform(StandardScaler().fit transform(x))
   x train, x test, y train, y test = train test split(\
       x t, y, test size=0.2, random state=0)
   trainsamples = x train.shape[0]
   testsamples = x test.shape[0]
   print(x train.shape)
   print(y train.shape)
   logreg = LogisticRegression()
    logreg.fit(x train, y train.reshape(trainsamples))
   y pred = logreg.predict(x test)
   print('Accuracy of logistic regression classifier on test set: {:.2f}'.format(
       logreg.score(x test, y test.reshape(testsamples)))
```

```
for n in range(1, x.shape[1]+1):
    x = df.loc[:, features] values
    v = di.loc[:,label].values
    pca = PCA(n components=n)
    x t = pca.fit transform(StandardScaler().fit transform(x))
    x train. x test, y_train, y_test = train test split(\
        x t, y, test size=0.2, random state=0)
    trainsamples = x train.shape[0]
                                              Not the best option as we should scale,
    testsamples = x test.shape[0]
    print(x train.shape)
                                              train the PCA only on the training set .
    print(y train.shape)
                                              See the afternoon exercise
    logreg = LogisticRegression()
    logreg.fit(x train, y train.reshape(trainsamples))
    y pred = logreg.predict(x test)
    print('Accuracy of logistic regression classifier on test set: {:.2f}'.format(
        logreg.score(x test, y test.reshape(testsamples)))
```

for	n in ran x = df.] y = df.]	<pre>in range(1, x.shape[1]+1): = df.loc[:, features].values = df.loc[:,label].values</pre>									
	<pre>pca = P x_t = p x_train</pre>	(120, 1) (120, 1) Accuracy c (120, 2)	of logistic	regression	classifier	on	test	set:	0.87		
	<pre>testsam print(x print(y logreg</pre>	(120, 1) Accuracy c (120, 3) (120, 1)	of logistic	regression	classifier	on	test	set:	0.87		
	logreg. y_pred print('.	Accuracy c (120, 4) (120, 1)	of logistic	regression	classifier	on	test	set:	1.00		
	log	Accuracy c	of logistic	regression	classifier	on	test	set:	1.00		

- ML Introduction
- Unsupervised techniques
- Reinforcement Learning
- Supervised Techniques
 - LR and PLS and RF and GPR
 Deep Learning
 - NN
 CNN
 Interpretable ML
 Working Examples

Machine Learning

Tommaso Tedeschi, Marco Baioletti, Diego Ciangottini, Valentina Poggioni, Daniele Spiga, <u>Loriano Storchi</u>, Mirco Tracolli, "Smart Caching in a Data Lake for High Energy Physics Analysis", Journal of Grid Computing, DOI: 10.1007/s10723-023-09664-z (2023)

algorithms)

- **Reinforcement Learning**: the algorithms learn to react to an environment on their own. An agent is in a situation of trial and error, where the consequences of its actions have an impact on the environment and also on the problem's goal. The agent is punished or rewarded on the basis of its behavior, with the idea that, in the future, it will prefer optimal actions (i.e. our intelligent cache system)
- **Supervised**: used when you want to predict or explain the data you possess. A supervised algorithm takes a known set of input data and known responses to the data (output) and trains a model to generate reasonable predictions



Rewards +

Dutputs

Outputs

Reinforcement Learning lies between the spectrum of Supervised Learning and Unsupervised Learning,. How does Reinforcement Learning work in a broader sense ?

- An "agent" is exposed to the environment
- The situations they encounter are **states**
- Our agents react by performing an action to transition from one "state" to another "state,"
- After the transition, they may receive a reward or penalty in return
- The **policy** is the strategy of choosing an action given a state in expectation of better outcomes.





Several approaches 1. Value-Based Methods 2. Policy-Based Methods 3. Model-Based Methods



I will give you a quick overview about one of the Value-Based Methods that is the:

Q-Learning: A model-free approach where an agent learns an action-value function (Q-function) that estimates the expected reward for taking a given action in a given state.

Simple example (no code)



A robot has to cross a maze and reach the end point. There are mines, and the robot can only move one tile tech at a time. If the robot steps onto a mine, the robot is dead. The robot has to reach the end point in the shortest time possible.

Simple example (no code)



The robot loses 1 point at each step. (force the robot to take the shortest path).
 Mine, the point loss is 100 and the game ends.
 If the robot gets power it gains 1 point.

 If the robot reaches the end goal, the robot gets 100 points.



The Q-Table, the **columns are the** actions and the rows are the states. Actions Space: 4 possible actions **N**move up, down, left or right \bullet States space: 5 state start, nothing (blank square), power, mine, end **Rewards: loss 1 for each step,**

loss 100 for mine, gain 100 for end , gain 1 for power





Initial Q-Table and how to choose an action







Initialize the Q values:, randomly, in this example we will initialize all values to zero Choose an action (a) in the state (s) **TECHOL** based on the Q-Table One can use different strategies Ο to select the best action • in this case the action is chosen randomly using epsilon greedy strategy

if random() < ε random action otherwise

action = argmax(Q(state, a)) for all actions a _____

argmax(Q(state, a)) for all actions a: This part calculates the action with the highest Q-value in the current state



if ε = 0.1 (10% chance of exploration)., so 90% of times we select the best action accordingly to argmax
if we are in state 0 = Start in this case
clearly the best action is Right





How to update the Q-values

Bellman equation Q(s, a) = Q(s, a) + α [R(s, a) + γ * max(Q(s', a')) - Q(s, a)]

Q(s, a): The current Q-value for taking action a in state s. TECHOLUC (alpha): The learning rate (a value between 0 and 1). It determines how much we update the Q-value based on new information. A higher learning rate means bigger updates.

$Q(s, a) = Q(s, a) + \alpha [R(s, a) + \gamma * max(Q(s', a')) - Q(s, a)]$

R(s, a): The immediate reward received after taking action a in state s. TECHOLU_γ (gamma): The discount factor (a value between 0 and 1). It determines how much we value future rewards compared to immediate rewards. A higher discount factor means we care more about future rewards.

$Q(s, a) = Q(s, a) + \alpha [R(s, a) + \gamma * max(Q(s', a')) - Q(s, a)]$

max(Q(s', a')): The maximum Q-value for the next state (s') after taking action a. This represents the best possible outcome we expect in the future.

TECHOLUS': The new state the agent transitions



Current state (s): O Action (a): Up Reward (R(s, a)): 1 (let's say it gets a small reward for moving up) ⁻ Next state (s'): 1 Learning rate (α): 0.1 Discount factor (y): 0.9 Current Q-value (Q(s, a)): 10 (from the Q-table) max(Q(s', a')): 12 (the highest Q-value in state 1 is for action "Right")

	Actio	ons :	1	→	Ļ	-	Q((0, 0), Up) = 10 + 0.1 [1 + 0.9 * 12 - 10]
State	0	t	10.18	5	-2	8	= 10 + 0.1 * 1.8 = 10.18
State	e 1	4	-5	12	7	-1	TECHOLUG
State	2	r	3	6	15	0	
State	3	5	-10	-8	-5	1	
State	e 4)	5	10	10	-2	

- ML Introduction
- Unsupervised techniques
- Reinforcement Learning
- Supervised Techniques
 - LR and PLS and RF and GPR
 Deep Learning
 - NN
 CNN
 Interpretable ML
 Working Examples

Machine Learning

Machine learning techniques can be divided into two foremost types:

- **Unsupervised**: find hidden patterns or intrinsic structures in data. They are used to draw inferences from data sets consisting of input data without labeled responses (i.e. clustering algorithms)
 - **Reinforcement Learning**: the algorithms learn to react to an environment on their own. An agent is in a situation of trial and error, where the consequences of its actions have an impact on the environment and also on the problem's goal. The agent is punished or rewarded on the basis of its behavior, with the idea that, in the ruture, it will prefer optimal actions (i.e. our intelligent cache system)
 - **Supervised**: used when you want to predict or explain the data you possess. A supervised algorithm takes a known set of input data and known responses to the data (output) and trains a model to generate reasonable predictions







Supervised Machine Learning



Machine Learning

Supervised: used when you want to predict or explain the data you possess. A supervised algorithm takes a known set of input data and known responses to the data (output) and trains a model to generate reasonable predictions



Labels: dependent variables (e.g. pK, values , could be also a class pass or not the BBB) Features (descriptors): independent variables (e.g. Molecular weight, fingerprints)

Models: Linear Regression, Random Forest, Artificial Neural Network , Partial Leat Square

a,b,c (X)

Machine Learning / Al

STRUCTURED DATA

CNN (2D and 3D images so arrays)

INPUT ARE NUMBERS

ILinear regression PLS PCR Decision Trees Random Forrest

Neural Network

Recurrent NN (sequence has they have hidden memory) Graph NN (Graphs, e.g. molecules) Transformers (sequence, but parallel, the decoder is somehow "generating" the output

GAN Generative Advesal Network
- ML Introduction
- Unsupervised techniques
- Reinforcement Learning
- Supervised Techniques
 - LR and PLS and RF and GPR/L
 Deep Learning
 - NN
 CNN
 Interpretable ML
 Working Examples





Implementing linear regression of some dependent variable y on the set of independent variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$, where r is the number of predictors, you assume a linear relationship between y and $\mathbf{x}: y = \beta_0$ $+ \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_r x_r + \varepsilon$.

df_sal = pd.read_csv('Salary_Data.csv')
df_sal.head()

✓ 0.0s 硼 Open 'df_sal' in Data Wrangler

	YearsExperience	Salary
0	1.1	39343.0
1	1.3	46205.0
2	1.5	37731.0
3	2.0	43525.0
4	2.2	39891.0



```
X = df sal.iloc[:, :1]
y = df sal.iloc[:, 1:]
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, \
                        test size = 0.2, random state = 0)
regressor = LinearRegression()
regressor.fit(X train, y train)
print(f'Coefficient: {regressor.coef }')
print(f'Intercept: {regressor.intercept }')
y pred test = regressor.predict(X test)
y pred train = regressor.predict(X train)
```

Coefficient: [[9312.57512673]] Intercept: [26780.09915063]

plt.scatter(y_train, y_pred_train, color = 'red')
plt.scatter(y_test, y_pred_test, color='blue')
plt.title('Scatterplot')
plt.xlabel('True Values')
plt.ylabel('Predicted Values')
plt.show()





$$R^{2} = \frac{\text{RegSS}}{\text{TSS}} = \frac{\sum_{i} (\hat{y}_{i} - \overline{y})^{2}}{\sum_{i} (y_{i} - \overline{y})^{2}}$$

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(\hat{y}_i - y_i)^2}{n}}$$

R² measures how well the regression line fits the data points. RMSE stands for Root Mean Squared TECHOError. It's a common metric used to evaluate the accuracy of a regression model, or more generally, to measure the difference between predicted values and actual values.

from sklearn.metrics import r2_score
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error

r2_train = r2_score(y_train, y_pred_train)
r2_test = r2_score(y_test, y_pred_test)
rmse_train = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_train, y_pred_train))
rmse_test = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_test))
print(f'R2 train: {r2_train}')
print(f'R2 test: {r2_test}')
R2 train: 0.9411949620562126
R2 test: 0.988169515729126
RMSE train: 6012.459573099956

RMSE test: 3580.979237321345





It is a linear relation but instead of the pure X variables we are using LV (Latent Variables) similar to PCR (Principal **Components Regression) but LV are build** to "better correlate" also to Y variable respect to PC (Principal Components).

$y_{nj} =$ The hyperparameter here is represented by the number of latente variables used

the pure (Latent V (Principa but LV are build to better correlate also Y variable respect to PC (Principal Components).

It is a lind

increasing the number of LV (Latente Variables)

used to

emoved (eg.

the number

d looking for

set while

Both PLS and PCR perform multiple linear regression, that is they build a linear model, Y=XB+EY=XB+E n PCR (Principal Component Regression)) the set of measurements XX is transformed into an equivalent set X'=XWX'=XW by a linear transformation WW, such that all the new 'features (which are the principal components) are linearly independent. PLS is based on finding a similar linear transformation, but accomplishes the same task by maximising the covariance between YY and X'X'

df = pd.read_csv('./data/fingerpls.txt', sep=' ', header=None) print(df.head()) X = df.iloc[:, 1:-1].valuesX = X.astype(float)2 3022 4 6 y = df.iloc[:, -1].values 47748 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 71274 4 0 0 0 print(X.shape) 99679 2 0 0 126628 1 0 0 print(y.shape) 127995 1 Θ 0

	3023	3024	3025	3026	3027	3028	3029	3030	3031
0	0	0	0	0	0	Θ	0	0	-2.87789
1	0	0	0	0	0	Θ	0	0	-4.41142
2	0	0	0	0	0	Θ	0	0	-0.99876
3	0	0	0	0	0	Θ	0	0	-3.92674
л	0	0	0	0	۵	0	0	0	2 62751

[5 rows x 3032 columns]
(207, 3030)
(207.)

Fingweorints

The molecular environment is described by a tree-structured molecular fingerprint with a length of 10 bond distances

0	1	8	N 3H 122		
1	2	9	C.3 326 11	C.3 326	
2	2	12	C.3 629 10	C.3 629	
3	1	7	N.3 ar 1016		
4	1	5	C.ar+ 1250		
5	2	4	NPYM 1706	6 NPYM 1706	
6	2	3	C.ar+ 1856	1 C.ar+ 18	56



Fingweorints

0123456

The If we consider 10 atom types and a fingerprint with a depth of 7 leng

1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	00	0	0	2	0	0	0	0

Cross validation K-folds:

- Data Splitting:
 - the dataset is randomly divided into K equal-sized parts (folds).
- Training and Testing:
 - The model is trained K separate times.
 - In each iteration, K-1 folds are used for training the model, and the remaining 1 fold is used for testing.

This way, each fold gets a chance to be the test set while the rest are used for training.

Performance Evaluation:

- For each iteration, a performance metric (e.g., accuracy, precision, recal, RMSE) is calculated on the held-out test fold.
 This results in K performance scores.
 The final performance score is generally calculated by averaging the K individual scores. This provides a more robust estimate of the model's canonalization performance score approach to a single train test calib.
 - generalization performance compared to a single train-test split.



plt.plot(range(1, 20), mses, label='MSE') plt.plot(range(1, 20), r2s, label='R2') plt.legend() plt.show()

plt.show()





We should use only the training (or a the validation) set to perform the hyperparameters search

splitting the dataset into the Training set and Test set
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size = 0.2, \
random state = 0)

```
# build a PLS model using 12 components
```

```
pls = PLSRegression(n_components=11)
pls.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred_test = pls.predict(X_test)
msetest = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_test)
r2test = r2_score(y_test, y_pred_test)
```

```
y_pred_train = pls.predict(X_train)
msetrain = mean_squared_error(y_train, y_pred_train)
r2train = r2_score(y_train, y_pred_train)
```

print('MSE test:', msetest)
print('R2 test:', r2test)
print('MSE train:', msetrain)
print('R2 train:', r2train)
plt.scatter(y_test, y_pred_test, color='blue')
plt.scatter(y_train, y_pred_train, color='red')
plt.title('Predicted vs True values')
plt.xlabel('True values')
plt.ylabel('Predicted values')
plt.show()



Maybe decrease the number of components, use a validation set to compare R2 and MSE the results etc etc MSE test: 0.36049041720894454
R2 test: 0.8382020928663912
MSE train: 0.014821067700162026
R2 train: 0.992527008294187





Decision Tree

Imagine you're trying to decide whether to go to a party. You might consider factors like:
1. Weather: Is it raining or sunny?
2. Friends: Are your friends going?
3. Time: Is it a weeknight or weekend?

You could use a decision tree to map out your decision-making process



Decision Tree

Decision tree training: Individual decision trees within an RF are built using algorithms that recursively partition the data based on features to generally minimize an impurity measurement as the Gini impurity.

$$G = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k^2,$$

where $p_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the proportion of samples in the node that belong to class k, and K is the total number of classes

- Imagine you have a complex problem to solve, and you gather a group of experts from different fields to provide their input. Each expert provides their opinion based on their expertise and experience. Then, the experts would vote to arrive at a final decision.
- In a random forest classification, multiple decision trees are created using different random subsets of the data and features. Each decision tree is like an expert, providing its opinion on how to classify the data.
- Predictions are made by calculating the prediction for each decision tree and then taking the most popular result. (For regression, predictions use an averaging technique instead.)

Bootstrapping:

Sampling with Replacement: Bootstrapping involves creating random samples from the original dataset with replacement. This means that some data points may appear multiple times in a single bootstrap sample, while others might be left out. Multiple Samples: For each tree in the random forest, a new bootstrap sample is created. So, each tree sees a slightly different version of the training data

Random forests use different sets of features for each tree



Ensemble aggregation: The final prediction of an RF is often an average (for regression) or a majority vote (for classification) of the predictions from individual trees.

Matrics to be used in case of classification





Here we will build a classification model so let's define some metrics: True Positive (TP): The model correctly predicted spam, and it was actually spam. True Negative (TN): The model correctly predicted not spam, and it was actually not spam. False Positive (FP) (Type I Error): The model predicted spam, but it was actually not spam (a false alarm). False Negative (FN) (Type II Error): The model predicted not spam, but it was actually spam (a missed detection).

Confusion Matrix

	Predicted Class 1	Predicted Class 0
Truly Class 1	True Positive (TP)	False Negative (FN)
Truly Class 0	False Positive (FP)	True Negative (TN)





Recall = TP / (TP + FN) essentially measures the ability of a classifier to find all the positive instances in your datase Accuracy = (TP + TN) / (TP + TN + FP + FN) is a common metric that measures the overall correctness of a model's predictions

Precision = TP / (TP + FP)evaluating the performance of a classifier, particularly when you want to <u>minimize false</u> <u>positives</u>

```
df = pd.read csv('./data/bbb.csv', sep=';')
df = df[df['0bjects'].str.contains(' c0')]
df['Objects'] = df['Objects'].str.replace(' c0', '')
df label = pd.read csv('./data/bbb label.csv', sep=' ', header=None)
# give a name to the columns
df label.columns = ['Objects', 'label']
# select only row with the same name as in the label file
df = df[df['Objects'].isin(df label['Objects'])]
df = df.set index('Objects')
df label = df label.set index('Objects')
# add all in a single dataframe
df = df.join(df label)
print(df.head())
```

		V	5	5	R	G	W1	W2
Random Fore	Objects							
nandom i oi o	MOL_0001	747.125	482.581	1.548	19 1	.33424	1098.380	579.750
	MOL_0002	952.125	637.029	1.494	63 1	.58788	1294.120	597.500
df - nd nond could	MOL_0004	549.625	368.758	3 1.490 ⁴	47 1	.21149	798.000	324.500
$dI = pd.read_csv($	MOL_0009	595.750	414.276	5 1.438	05 1	.33090	898.375	406.875
df = df[df['Object	MOL_0012	448.500	316.026	5 1.419	19 1	.17786	692.250	323.000
<pre>df['Objects'] = df</pre>		W4	W5	W6		L4I	LgS DI	D1 DD2
df_label = pd.read	Objects							n on the permit
# give a name to t	MOL_0001	106.375	48.875	13.875		-0.0678	867 61.75	50 32.500
af label selumo	MOL_0002	104.625	54.875	29.750		-0.1379	969 92.87	75 37.500
df_label.columns =	MOL_0004	35.875	15.500	6.625		0.0120	977 0.00	00 0.000
# select only row v	MOL_0009	98.000	51.625	25.125		-0.3154	401 30.50	00 20.125
df = df[df['Object	MOL_0012	63.000	29.375	12.125		0.158	180 0.00	00 0.000
<pre>df = df.set_index(</pre>		DD4	DD5	DD6	DD7	DD8	label	
df label = df label	Objects							
	MOL_0001	11.250	7.875	5.625	4.750	3.500	1	
# add all in a sin	MOL_0002	11.625	10.250	8.750	5.750	5.375	0	
df = df.ioin(df la)	MOL_0004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1	
an artification and a state of the state of	MOL 0009	5.000	4.625	3.125	1.625	0.750	1	
print(dt, head())								

GRID Force-Fields

- **GRID program**: a computational procedure for determining energetically favourable binding sites on molecules for functional groups of known structure through the use of PROBES.
 - The PROBE is moved through a grid of points superimposed on the target molecule (to each atoms of the target and AtomType is assigned). Its interaction energy with the target molecule is computed by an empirical energy function

$$E_{XYZ} = \Sigma[E_{LJ}] + \Sigma[E_{HB}] + \Sigma[E_{Q}] + [S]^{-1}$$
 electrostatic function S= entropic term





Volsurf Descriptors

Decerioters	Probes*			Decembrian			
Descriptors	OH2	OH2 DRY O		Description			
v	х			Molecular volume			
S	х			Molecular surface			
POL				Polarizability			
MW				Molar mass			
HB1-HB8			х	Hydrogen bonding			
A				Amphiphilic moment			
BV	х		х	Best volumes			
W1-W8	x			Hydrophilic regions			
ID1-ID8		X		Hydrophobic integy moment			
Cw1-Cw8	х			Capacity factor			
D1-D8		X		Hydrophobic regions			
CP				Critical packing			
LOG P				logarithm of partition coefficient			
DIFF				Diffusivity			
```
X = df.drop('label', axis=1)
X = X.fillna(0)
y = df['label']
print(X.shape)
CORRCUT = 0.95
corr matrix = X.corr().abs()
upper = corr matrix.where(np.triu(np.ones(corr matrix.shape), \
                                  k=1).astype(bool))
to drop = [column for column in upper.columns if any(upper[column] > CORRCUT)]
X = X.drop(X[to drop], axis=1)
print(X.shape)
```

Maybe use only the training set

(2103, 128)

(2103, 68)

Important to compare results

```
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train test split(X, y, \setminus
                      test size=0.2, random state=42)
accuracys = []
numoftrees = []
for numofest in cange(1, 100, 10):
    rf = RandomForestClassifier(n estimators=numofest, random state=42)
    rf.fit(X train, y train)
    y pred = rf.predict(X_test)
                                             Maybe you want to use a validation set to find
    acc = accuracy score(y test, y pred)
                                             the best hyperparameters
    accuracys.append(acc)
    numoftrees.append(numofest)
    #print(f"Accuracy for {numofest} trees:", accuracy)
```

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt plt.plot(numoftrees, accuracys) plt.xlabel('Number of Trees') plt.ylabel('Accuracy') plt.title('Accuracy vs Number of Trees') plt.show() print("Max Accuracy:", max(accuracys)) bestnoftrees = numoftrees[accuracys.index(max(accuracys))] print("Number of Trees:", bestnoftrees)

import matplotlib.py plt.plot(numoftrees, plt.xlabel('Number o plt.ylabel('Accuracy plt.title('Accuracy plt.show() print("Max Accuracy: bestnoftrees = numof print("Number of Tree



Max Accuracy: 0.8266033254156769 Number of Trees: 71

rf = RandomForestClassifier(n estimators=bestnoftrees, \ random state=42) rf.fit(X train, y train) # print out the max detpth used in the trees print("Max Depth:", rf.max depth) y pred = rf.predict(X test) print("Accuracy:", accuracy score(y test, y pred)) print("Confusion Matrix:") print(confusion matrix(y test, y pred)) print("Precision:", precision score(y test, y pred)) print("Recall:", recall score(y test, y pred)) # the same for the training set y pred train = rf.predict(X train) print("Accuracy on training set:", $\$ accuracy score(y train, y pred train)) print("Confusion Matrix on training set:") print(confusion matrix(y train, y pred train)) print("Precision on training set:", \setminus precision score(y train, y pred train)) print("Recall on training set:", \setminus recall score(v train, v pred train))



<pre>rf = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=bestnoftrees, \</pre>										
<pre>rf.fit(X_tr # print out</pre>	Max Depth: None	Tree growth: Each tree in								
print("Max	Accuracy: 0.8266033254156769	the forest will be allowed								
y_pred = rf	Confusion Matrix:	to grow until all leaves								
print("Accu	[[43 58]	are pure (all data points								
print(confu	[15 305]]	in a leaf belong to the								
print("Prec	Precision: 0.8402203856749312	same class) possible								
print("Reca	Recall: 0.953125	overfitting								
# the same	Accuracy on training set: 0.9994	054696789536								
print("Accu	Confusion Matrix on training set	3								
accur print("Conf	[[357 1] [0 1324]] not a balanced set									
print(confu										
print("Prec	Precision on training set: 0.999	245283018868								
preci	Recall on training set: 1.0									
print("Reca										
recal	L Score(v train, v pred train))									

I CALLER CALLER INICEDE

```
testaccuracy = []
trainaccuracy = []
for maxdepth in range(1, 10):
    rf = RandomForestClassifier(n estimators=bestnoftrees, \
                            max depth=maxdepth, \
                            random state=42)
    rf.fit(X_train, y_train)
    y pred = rf.predict(X test)
    testaccuracy.append(accuracy score(y test, y pred))
    y pred train = rf.predict(X train)
    trainaccuracy.append(accuracy score(y train, y pred train))
```

```
plt.plot(range(1, 10), testaccuracy, label='Test')
plt.plot(range(1, 10), trainaccuracy, label='Train')
plt.xlabel('Max Depth')
plt.ylabel('Accuracy')
plt.title('Accuracy vs Max Depth')
plt.legend()
plt.show()
bestdepth = testaccuracy.index(max(testaccuracy))+1
print ("Max Accuracy:", max(testaccuracy),\
       "Max Depth:", bestdepth)
```



rf = RandomForestClassifier(n estimators=bestnoftrees, max depth=bestdepth, $\$ random state=42) rf.fit(X train, y train) y pred = rf.predict(X test) print("Accuracy:", accuracy score(y test, y pred)) print("Confusion Matrix:") print(confusion matrix(y test, y pred)) print("Precision:", precision score(y test, y pred)) print("Recall:", recall score(y test, y pred)) # the same for the training set y pred train = rf.predict(X train)print("Accuracy on training set:", $\$ accuracy score(y train, y pred train)) print("Confusion Matrix on training set:") print(confusion matrix(y train, y pred train)) print("Precision on training set:", \setminus precision score(v train v nred train)) print("Recall on trai (variable) y_train: Any recall score(y train, y pred train))



```
rf = RandomEorestClassifier(n estimators-bestnoftrees
        Accuracy: 0.836104513064133
rf.fit(x t Confusion Matrix:
y pred = r
       [[ 37 64]
print("Acc
print("Cor [ 5 315]]
print(conf Precision: 0.8311345646437994
print("Pre
print("Red Recall: 0.984375
y_pred_tra Accuracy on training set: 0.9078478002378121
print("Acc Confusion Matrix on training set:
    accu
print("Cor [[ 204 154]
        [ 1 1323]]
print(conf
print("Pre
        Precision on training set: 0.8957345971563981
    pred
print("Red Recall on training set: 0.9992447129909365
      re
```

```
for i in range(3):
tree = rf.estimators [i]
   dot data = export graphviz(tree,
                              feature names=X train.columns,
                            filled=True,
                              max depth=2,
                         impurity=False,
                              proportion=True)
   graph = graphviz.Source(dot data)
   display(graph)
```





Gaussian Process Regression (GPR), predictions are based on the similarity between points.

Kernel Function: The core of GPR is the kernel function, which defines the similarity or covariance between data points. This function determines how much information is shared between points – points that are more similar according to the kernel will have more influence on each other's predictions.

Prediction Process: When making a prediction for a new point, GPR considers the similarity between that new point and all the points in the training data. Points that are more similar to the new point (according to the kernel) will have a greater weight in determining the prediction.

Imagine you're trying to predict the temperature at a new location. You have temperature readings from several nearby weather stations. In GPR, the kernel function would be like a measure of how close the new location is to each weather stations

import pandas as pd
import numpy as np

```
df = pd.read_csv('data/surface.csv')
df = df.drop('dE', axis=1)
print(df.shape)
print(df.head())
```







import panda	as	as	pd						
import nump	(40, 71)								
		v∖T		100		200		300	4
	0	1	4.670000	e-17	1.850000	e-16	5.200000	e-16	1.220000e-
df = pd.rea	1	2	1.050000	e-16	4.160000	e-16	1.170000	e-15	2.720000e-
	2	3	1.790000	e-16	7.010000	e-16	1.960000	e-15	4.560000e-
at = at.aro	3	4	2.710000	e-16	1.050000	e-15	2.930000	e-15	6.790000e-
print(df.sh	4	5	3.850000	e-16	1.470000	e-15	4.110000	e-15	9.490000e-
print(df.he									
			600		700		800		900 .
0.36	0	5.42	0000e-15	1.03	0000e-14	1.78	0000e-14	2.86	0000e-14 .
	1	1.20	0000e-14	2.27	0000e-14	3.93	0000e-14	6.26	0000e-14 .
	2	2.00	0000e-14	3.76	0000e-14	6.48	0000e-14	1.03	0000e-13 .

v = df['v\T']
T = df.columns[1:]
T = [int(t) for t in T]
v = [int(v) for v in v]
print(v)
print(T)



x, y = np.meshgrid(T, v)
print(x.shape)
print(y.shape)
z = np.array(df.iloc[:,1:])
print(z.shape)

v = df['v\T']
T = df.columns[1:]
T = lint(t) for t in T]
 [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19
 [100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900, 1000, 1100, 1200, 130
 (40, 70)
 (40, 70)
 (40, 70)
 (40, 70)

print(y.shape)
z = np.array(df.iloc[:,1:])
print(z.shape)

fig = plt.figure() fig.set size inches(12, 12) ax = fig.add subplot(111, projection='3d') ax.scatter(x, y, z, color='b') ax.set xlabel('T (K)') ax.set ylabel('v (quantum number)') ax.set zlabel('k (cm^3/s)') plt.show()

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{N}_{2}(v) + \mathrm{H}_{2}(0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2}(v - \Delta v) \\ + \mathrm{H}_{2}(0), \quad \Delta v = 1, 2, 3. \end{array} \right) \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{IIG.Set_Size_incres(12)} \\ \text{ax} = \texttt{fig.add_subplot(1)} \end{array} \right) \\ \end{array}$

ax = fig.add_subplot(1
ax.scatter(x, y, z, co
ax.set_xlabel('T (K)')
ax.set_ylabel('v (quan
ax.set_zlabel('k (cm^3
plt.show()



```
(2800, 2) [100 1]
t = x.reshape(-1)
                                 (2800,) 4.67e-17
v = y.reshape(-1)
                                 (2520, 2) (280, 2) (2520,) (280,)
X = np.column stack((t, v))
Y = z.reshape(-1)
print(X.shape, X[0])
print(Y.shape, Y[0])
X_train, X_test, Y_train, Y_test = train_test_split(\
    X, Y, test size=0.1, random state=42)
print(X train.shape, X test.shape, Y train.shape, Y test.shape)
0.2s
```

```
1000
```

```
kernel = 1.0 * Matern(length scale=1.0, nu=2.5)
qpr = GaussianProcessRegressor(kernel=kernel, n restarts optimizer=10, \
                               normalize y=False, random state=42)
gpr.fit(X train, Y train)
Y pred, Y std = qpr.predict(X test, return std=True)
rmse = np.sqrt(mean squared error(Y test, Y pred))
r2 = r2 score(Y test, Y pred)
print("RMSE %6.2e, R2 %6.2f" % (rmse, r2))
plt.scatter(Y test, Y pred)
plt.xlabel('True values')
plt.ylabel('Predicted values')
plt.title('Gaussian Process Regression')
plt.show()
```

RMSE 3.41e-11, R2 0.86

kernel = 1.0 *
gpr = GaussianF

gpr.fit(X trair Y pred, Y std = rmse = np.sqrt $r2 = r2 \ score()$ print("RMSE %6. plt.scatter(Y t plt.xlabel('Tru plt.ylabel('Pre plt.title('Gaus plt.show()



s_optimizer=10,∖ m_state=42)

print(np.min(Y_test), np.max(Y_test))
print(np.min(Y_pred), np.max(Y_pred))

√ 0.0s

1.05e-16 3.35e-10 -8.452855806257396e-11 2.655611032996181e-10





```
Y \text{ trainL} = np.log10(Y \text{ train})
kernel = 1.0 * Matern(length scale=1.0, nu=2.5)
gpr = GaussianProcessRegressor(kernel=kernel, n restarts optimizer=10,\
                                normalize y=False, random state=42)
gpr.fit(X train. Y trainL)
Y predL = qpr.predict(X test)
Y pred = 10 * * Y predL
rmse = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(Y test, Y pred))
r2 = r2 score(Y test, Y pred)
print("RMSE %6.2e, R2 %6.2f" % (rmse, r2))
plt.scatter(Y test, Y pred)
plt.xlabel('True values')
plt.ylabel('Predicted values')
plt.title('Gaussian Process Regression')
plt.show()
```



imizer=10,∖

print(np.min(Y_test), np.max(Y_test))
print(np.min(Y_pred), np.max(Y_pred))
0.0s

l.05e-16 3.35e-10 l.0112154496134055e-16 3.348786030775483e-10

- ML Introduction
- Unsupervised techniques
- Reinforcement Learning
- Supervised Techniques
 - LR and PLS and RF and GPR/L
 Deep Learning
 - NN
 CNN
 Interpretable ML
 Working Examples

Deep Learning

Deep learning techniques involve training artificial neural networks with multiple layers (hence "deep") to learn complex patterns and representations from data





- ML Introduction
- Unsupervised techniques
- Reinforcement Learning
- Supervised Techniques
 - LR and PLS and RF and GPR
 Deep Learning
 - CNN
 Interpretable ML
 Working Examples

NN





There are three layers in the network architecture: the input layer, the hidden layer (more than one), and the output layer. A typical feedforward network processes information in one direction, from input to output.



Weighted Sum: The neuron receives input signals from other neurons or from the input layer. Each input signal is multiplied by a weight, and these weighted inputs are summed together.



they are updated during the training

Bias: A bias term is added to the weighted sum. This bias allows the neuron to shift the activation function and learn more complex patterns.



they are updated during the training

Activation Function: The activation function is applied to the sum of the weighted inputs and the bias. This function introduces non-linearity into the network, enabling it to learn complex relationships in the data.

TECHOLUG


The activation function is important for two reasons: first, it allows you to turn on your computer. It contributes to the conversion of the input into a more usable final output.



Output: The output of the activation function is the neuron's output, which is then passed on to other neurons in the next layer.

ТЕСНОГИС



How the weights change during training

Initialization: Initially, the weights are assigned random values.



Forward Pass: The input data is fed through the network, and the activations of the neurons are calculated layer by layer. This process produces an output prediction.



Loss Function: The difference between the predicted output and the actual target value is calculated using a loss function. This loss represents the error of the network.



Backpropagation: The error is propagated back through the network, and <u>the gradients of the loss with respect to each</u> <u>weight are calculated.</u> These gradients indicate the direction and magnitude of the weight adjustments needed to reduce the error.



Weight Update: An optimization algorithm (like gradient descent) uses the gradients to update the weights. The weights are adjusted in the direction that minimizes the loss.



What happens in each epoch: 1. Data Shuffle **Batching (Optional)** 2. **3.** Iteration a. Forward Pass **b.** Loss Calculation c. Backpropagation d. Weight Update **Epoch Completion** 4.





What happens in each epoch: **1.** (Data Shuffle 2. Batching (Optional) **3.** Iteration a. Forward Pass **b.** Loss Calculation c. Backpropagation d. Weight Update **Epoch Completion** 4.

The training data is typically shuffled at the beginning of each epoch. This helps prevent the network from learning the order of the data and encourages better generalizatio

What happens in each epoch:

- Data Shuffle
 Batching (Optional)
 Iteration
- a. Forward Pass
 b. Loss Calculation
 c. Backpropagation
 d. Weight Update
 4. Epoch Completion

The training data is often divided into smaller batches. This is especially useful for large datasets that might not fit into memory all at once.





What happens in each epoch: 1. Data Shuffle **Batching** (Optional) 2. Iteration 3 a. Forward Pass b. Loss Calculation c. Backpropagation d. Weight Update 4. **Epoch Completion**

For each batch (or the whole dataset if not using batches)

What happens in each epoch: **Data Shuffle Batching (Optional)** 2. 3. Iteration a. Forward Pass Loss Calculation c. Backpropagation d. Weight Update **Epoch Completion** 4.

The input data is fed through the network, and the activations of the neurons are calculated layer by layer, producing an output prediction.

What happens in each epoch: 1. Data Shuffle **Batching (Optional)** 2. 3. Iteration a. Forward Pass b. (Loss Calculation Backpropagation C. d. Weight Update **Epoch Completion** 4.

he difference between the predicted output and the actual target value is calculated using a loss function.

Gaussian Process Regression

What happens in each epoch: **Data Shuffle Batching (Optional)** 2. **3.** Iteration a. Forward Pass b. Loss Calculation c. (Backpropagation d. Weight Update **Epoch Completion** 4.

the error is propagated back through the network, and the gradients of the loss with respect to the weights are calculated

What happens in each epoch: 1. Data Shuffle **Batching (Optional)** 2. 3. Iteration a. Forward Pass **b.** Loss Calculation **Backpropagation** C. Weight Update d. **Epoch Completion** 4.

•An optimization algorithm uses the gradients to update the weights of the network

What happens in each epoch: 1. Data Shuffle **Batching (Optional)** 2. 3. Iteration a. Forward Pass **b.** Loss Calculation c. Backpropagation d. Weight Update **Epoch Completion** 4.

Repeat, steps are repeated for all batches (or the whole dataset) until all the training data has been processed.





What happens in each epoch: 1. Data Shuffle **Batching (Optional)** 2. 3. Iteration a. Forward Pass **b.** Loss Calculation **Backpropagation** C. d. Weight Update **Epoch Completion** 4.

Once all the training data has been processed, one epoch is complete.



Tensorflow was previously the most widely used Deep Learning library, however, it was tricky to figure with for newbies. A simple one-layer network involves a substantial amount of code. With Keras, however, the entire process of creating a Neural Network's structure, as well as training and tracking it, becomes exceedingly straightforward.

<u>Keras is a high-level API built on top of TensorFlow (and other backends like Theano and CNTK, though TensorFlow is the most common and officially supported one now)</u>

df = pd.read_csv('data/surface.csv')
df = df.drop('dE', axis=1)

```
v = df['v\T']
T = df.columns[1:]
T = [int(t) for t in T]
v = [int(v) for v in v]
x, y = np.meshgrid(T, v)
z = np.array(df.iloc[:,1:])
```

```
fig = plt.figure()
fig.set_size_inches(12, 12)
ax = fig.add_subplot(111, projection='3d')
ax.scatter(x, y, z, color='b')
ax.set_xlabel('T (K)')
ax.set_ylabel('v (quantum number)')
ax.set_zlabel('k (cm^3/s)')
plt.show()
```



t = x.reshape(-1)

- v = y.reshape(-1)
- X = np.column_stack((t, v))
- Y = z.reshape(-1)

YL = np.log10(Y)
X_train, X_test, Y_train, Y_test = train_test_split(\
 X, YL, test_size=0.1, random_state=42)

```
model = Sequential()
model.add(InputLayer(input shape=(2,)))
model.add(Dense(32, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(64, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(32, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(1, activation='linear'))
model.compile(loss='mean squared error', \
            optimizer=adam.Adam(learning rate=0.001), \
            metrics=['mse'])
history = model.fit(X train, Y train, epochs=20, \setminus
                    batch size=64, verbose=1, validation split=0.1)
model.save('model.h5')
```

biases based on the gradients. model = Sequential()While the backpropagation is the model.add(InputLayer(input_shape=(2,))) algorithm that calculates the model.add(Dense(32, activation='relu')) gradients. indicating the model.add(Dense(64, activation='relu')) direction and magnitude of model.add(Dense(32, activation='relu')) change needed for the weights model.add(Dense(1, activation='linear') model.compile(loss='mean squared error',/) optimizer=adam.Adam(learning rate=0.001), metrics=['mse']) history = model.fit(X train, Y train, epochs=20, \ batch size=64, verbose=1, validation split=0.1) model.save('model.h5')

This is the algorithm that

actually updates the weights and

```
model = Sequential()
model.add(InputLayer(input_shape=(2,)))
model.add(Dense(32, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(64, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(32, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(1, activation='linear'))
model.compile(loss='mean squared error', \
            optimizer=adam.Adam(learning rate=0.001), \
            metrics=['mse'])
history = model.fit(X train, Y train, epochs=20, \
                    batch size=64, verbose=1, validation split=0.1)
model.save('model.h5')
```



	Epoch	1/20								
_	36/36	[======================] - 1s 5ms/step - loss: 42596.5156 - mse: 425								
moc	Epoch	2/20								
mod	36/36	[=======================] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 743.3082 - mse: 743.3								
mod	Epoch	3/20								
mot	36/36	[============================] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 54.6704 - mse: 54.670								
moc	Epoch	4/20								
moc	36/36	[=======================] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 38.0262 - mse: 38.026								
mod	Epoch	5/20								
mod	36/36	[============================] - 0s 2ms/step - loss: 37.2296 - mse: 37.229								
moc	Epoch	6/20								
	36/36	[============================] - 0s 3ms/step - loss: 36.8328 - mse: 36.832								
	Epoch	7/20								
his	36/36	[============================] - 0s 2ms/step - loss: 36.6504 - mse: 36.650								
1125	Fnach	$\frac{2}{20}$								
		batch_size=64, verbose=1, validation_split=0.1)								
model.save('model.h5')										

```
print("min loss: ", min(history.history['loss']))
print("min val loss: ", min(history.history['val loss']))
plt.plot(history.history['loss'])
plt.plot(history.history['val loss'])
plt.title('model loss')
plt.ylabel('loss')
plt.xlabel('epoch')
plt.legend(['train', 'validation'], loc='upper left')
plt.show()
```

0.2s

Artificial

min loss: 30.04009246826172 min val_loss: 26.83810043334961

print("min print("min plt.plot(h plt.plot(h plt.title(plt.ylabel plt.xlabel plt.legend plt.show()



ss']))

0.2s

from <u>sklearn.metrics</u> import <u>mean_squared_error</u>

Y_pred = model.predict(X_test)
rmse = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(Y_test, Y_pred))
print('rmse: ', rmse)
plt.scatter(Y_test, Y_pred)
plt.xlabel('True Values')
plt.ylabel('Predictions')
plt.show()



<u>It's highly recommended and often crucial to normalize data when</u> <u>using neural networks, although not always strictly mandatory</u>

scalerx = MinMaxScaler()
scalerx.fit(X)
Xs = scalerx.transform(X)

to be more correct it would be better to fit the scaler only on the training set YL = np.log10(Y)
scalery = MinMaxScaler()
scalery.fit(YL.reshape(-1,1))
YLs = scalery.transform(YL.reshape(-1,1))

X_train, X_test, Y_train, Y_test = train_test_split(\
 Xs, YLs, test_size=0.1, random_state=42)

```
model = Sequential()
model.add(InputLayer(input shape=(2,)))
model.add(Dense(32, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(64, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(32, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(1, activation='linear'))
model.compile(loss='mean squared error', \
            optimizer=adam.Adam(learning rate=0.001), \
            metrics=['mse'])
history = model.fit(X train, Y train, epochs=20, \
                    batch size=64, verbose=1, validation split=0.1)
model.save('model.h5')
```

model -	- Sequential()								
Epoch 1	1/20								
36/36 [[=======]]	0s	4ms/step		loss:	0.2406	- m	se:	0.2406
Epoch 2	2/20								
36/36 [[======]]	0s	1ms/step		loss:	0.0198	- m	se:	0.0198
Epoch 3	3/20								
36/36 [[=======]]	0s	1ms/step		loss:	0.0101	- m	se:	0.0101
Epoch 4	1/20								
36/36 [[=======]]	0s	2ms/step		loss:	0.0074	- m	se:	0.0074
Epoch 5	5/20								
36/36 [[=======]]	0s	2ms/step		loss:	0.0056	- m	se:	0.0056
Epoch 6	5/20								
		 		_					

batch size=64, verbose=1, validation_split=0.1)

model.save('model.h5')

print("min loss: ", min(history.history['loss'])) print("min val loss: ", min(history.history['val loss'])) plt.plot(history.history['loss']) plt.plot(history.history['val loss']) plt.title('model loss') plt.ylabel('loss') plt.xlabel('epoch') plt.legend(['train', 'validation'], loc='upper left') plt.show()





Y pred = model.predict(X_test) Y pred = scalery.inverse transform(Y pred) Y test = scalery.inverse transform(Y test) rmse = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(Y_test, Y_pred)) print('rmse: ', rmse) plt.scatter(Y test, Y pred) plt.xlabel('True Values') plt.ylabel('Predictions') plt.show()


- ML Introduction
- Unsupervised techniques
- Reinforcement Learning
- Supervised Techniques
 - LR and PLS and RF and GPR
 Deep Learning
 - CNN
 Interpretable ML
 Working Examples

NN

Image Recognition

Recognition of people, animals, objects, places etc from digital images Trained using thousands of pre-labelled images Uses the pixels in each image as descriptors Trained to recognise if the image shows a certain class



An image is a cuboid having its length, width (dimension of the image), and height (i.e the \mathbb{N} channel 3 channels for RGB TECH Kernel slides across the height and width of the image input and dot product of the kernel and the image are computed







 \otimes

Imagine this 3x3 Black an White image: and consider a 2x2 filter:

TECHOLUG













Summation: Add up the results of the multiplication:





Slide the filter: we move the filter and we apply the same operations so we got the final result. After performing the convolution operation across the entire image, you'll get a smaller output matrix called a feature map. In this case, the feature map would be a 2x2 matrix:



This particular **filter is an example of an edge detection f**ilter. It highlights regions in the image where there's a change in intensity (from light to dark or dark to light). The negative values in the output feature map correspond to edges where the intensity decreases from left to right or top to bottom, while the positive values correspond to edges where the intensity increases.

Slide the filter: we move the filter and we apply the same operations so we got the final result. After performing the convolution operation across the entire image, you'll ge feature map would b

In a CNN, the activation function is applied to the output of each filter, to introduce non-linearity and shaping the feature representation.

etection filter. It ge in intensity ues in the output y decreases from es correspond to

edges where the intensity increases.

During the training of a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), the values within the filters (also called kernels or weights) are what change and are learned. This is how the network adapts and improves its ability to detect relevant features in the input data. Similarly to the NN:

- Initialization
- Forward Pass
- Loss Calculation
- Backpropagation
- Weight Update.

Iteration:







Convolutional layers often detect edges and geometries in the image (Colors: RGB three channels)



Predicting Gene Accessibility using CNNs

Kelley DR, Snoek J, Rinn JL. Basset: learning the regulatory code of the accessible genome with deep convolutional neural networks. *Genome Research*. 2016;26(7):990-999. doi:10.1101/gr.200535.115.

(trainX, trainy), (testX, testy) = mnist.load data() print('Train: X=%s, y=%s' % (trainX.shape, trainy.shape)) print(' Test: X=%s, y=%s' % (testX.shape, testy.shape)) print('') for i in range(9): #plt.subplot(330 + 1 + i)print(trainX[i].shape) print(trainy[i]) plt.imshow(trainX[i], cmap=plt.get cmap('gray')) plt.show()



(trainX, trainY), (testX, testY) = mnist.load_data()
trainX = trainX.reshape((trainX.shape[0], 28, 28, 1))
testX = testX.reshape((testX.shape[0], 28, 28, 1))
trainY = to_categorical(trainY)
testY = to_categorical(testY)

if DEBUGVIS: print(testY[1]) plt.subplot(330 + 1) plt.imshow(testX[1], cmap=plt.get_cmap('gray'))# plt.show()



trainX = trainX.astype('float32')
testX = testX.astype('float32')
trainX = trainX / 255.0
testX = testX / 255.0



```
model = Sequential()
model.add(Conv2D(32, (3, 3), activation='relu', \
                   kernel initializer='he uniform', \
                   input shape=(28, 28, 1)))
model.add(MaxPooling2D((2, 2)))
model.add(Flatten())
model.add(Dense(100, activation='relu', \
                  kernel initializer='he uniform'))
model.add(Dense(10, activation='softmax'))
opt = SGD(learning rate=0.01, momentum=0.9)
model.compile(optimizer=opt, loss='categorical crossentropy', \
                metrics=['accuracy'])
```

trainX = trainX.astype('float32')
testX = testX.astype('float32')
trainX = trainX / 255.0
testX = testX / 255.0

32 filters/kernels each one 3x3 the input is a grayscale image, 1 channel only the ReLU is applied to each output

model = Sequential()
model add(Conv2D(22)())

kernel_initializer='he_uniform'))

model.add(Dense(10, activation='softmax'))

opt = SGD(learning_rate=0.01, momentum=0.9)

trainX = trainX.astype('float32')
testX = testX.astype('float32')
trainX = trainX / 255.0
testX = testX / 255.0

This is a max pooling layer, which

the feature maps generated by the

reduces the spatial dimensions of

convolutional layer. (2, 2): Specifies the

Input Shape=(20, 20,

plt.clf()
plt.title('Classification Accuracy')
plt.plot(history.history['accuracy'], color='blue', label='train')
plt.plot(history.history['val_accuracy'], color='orange', label='test')
plt.show()

1500/1500 [===========] - 21s 14ms/ Epoch 10/10 1500/1500 [=============] - 20s 13ms/ Validation Set > 98.825 Training Set > 99.979

plt.clf()
plt.title('Classification Accuracy')
plt.plot(history.history['accuracy'], color='blue', label='train')
plt.plot(history.history['val_accuracy'], color='orange', label='test')
plt.show()





ROC/AUC

ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristic) and AUC (Area Under the Curve) are powerful tools for evaluating the performance of classification models.
True Positive Rate (TPR) / Sensitivity / Recall: The proportion of actual positive cases that are correctly identified by the model.

TPR = TP / (TP + FN)

 False Positive Rate (FPR) / 1 - Specificity: The proportion of actual negative cases that are incorrectly classified as positive.

FPR = FP / (FP + TN)

ROC/AUC



True Positive Rate (TPR) against the False Positive Rate (FPR) at different threshold settings. Predictions: Obtain the predicted probabilities from your classification model for all instances in your dataset. Thresholds: Select a range of thresholds between 0 and 1. **Calculate TPR and FPR: For each** threshold:

1000



Some general strategies

Data Strategies 1. a. More Data: The most effective solution! More data provides a more representative sample and reduces the chance of learning noise. b. **Data Augmentation:** Artificially increase your dataset size by creating variations of existing samples. For images, this could include rotations, flips, crops, etc. For text, you might use synonyms or paraphrasing. c. **Feature Selection:** Carefully choose relevant features. Remove redundant or irrelevant ones that might contribute to overfitting.

Some general strategies

1. **Architectural Changes** a. Simpler Model: Reduce the complexity of your network. Try fewer layers, fewer neurons per layer, or a less complex architecture. **Dropout: Randomly drop neurons during training.** This forces the network to b. learn more robust features and prevents reliance on any single neuron. **Regularization: Add penalty terms to your loss function that discourage** C. large weights. Common types include L1 and L2 regularization. L2 regularization: Adds a penalty proportional to the square of the weights. These penalties encourage the network to keep the weights small, effectively shrinking them towards zero. This leads to a simpler model that is less likely to overfit.

Some general strategies

Training Process

 a. Early Stopping: Monitor your model's performance on a validation set during training. Stop training when validation performance starts to degrade.
 b. Beduce Learning Rate: A smaller learning rate allows the model to

 Reduce Learning Rate: A smaller learning rate allows the model to make finer adjustments to the weights and avoid "jumping around" in the loss landscape.

- ML Introduction
- Unsupervised techniques
- Reinforcement Learning
- Supervised Techniques
 - LR and PLS and RF and GPR
 Deep Learning
 - CNN
 Interpretable ML

NN

Working Examples





Interpretable ML

The Rise of Black Box Models: Machine learning models are becoming increasingly complex and accurate. However, many models are "black boxes," meaning their internal. workings are difficult to understand. The Need for Interpretability: Understanding how models make decisions is crucial for trust, Ο accountability, and fairness. Interpretability helps identify biases, debug models, and gain Ο insights into the data.

Interpretable ML

Some model are directly interepretable as Linear Regression, other model are not:

Model Specific: Techniques designed for particular model types (e.g., rule extraction from decision trees).
 Model Agnostic: Methods that work with any model, regardless of its internal structure.





PLS and Permutation feature importance





Permutation feature importance

Permutation feature importance is a model-agnostic technique used to measure the importance of features

It works by randomly shuffling the values of a single feature and measuring how much the model's performance decreases. The more the performance drops, the more important that feature is

Permutation feature importance

Permutation feature importance is a model-agnostic technique used to measure the importance of features

Baseline performance
 Feature shuffling
 Performance with shuffled feature
 Importance calculation
 Repeat for all features

Permutation feature importance

Permutation feature importance is a model-agnostic technique used to measure the importance of features and the second se

Baseline performance
 Feature shuffling
 Performance with shuffled feature
 Importance calculation
 Repeat for all features
Permutation feature importance is a model-agnostic technique used to measure the importance of features **D**

Baseline performance
 Feature shuffling
 Performance with shuffled feature
 Importance calculation
 Repeat for all features

Permutation feature importance is a model-agnostic technique used to measure the importance of features

Baseline performance
 Feature shuffling
 Performance with shuffled feature
 Importance calculation
 Repeat for all features

Evaluate the model's performance on the dataset with the shuffled feature.

Permutation feature importance is a model-agnostic technique used to measure the importance of features and the second se

Baseline performance
 Feature shuffling
 Performance with shuffled feature
 Importance calculation
 Repeat for all features

Calculate the difference in performance between the baseline (original data) and the shuffled data. This difference represents the importance of the feature. A larger drop in performance indicates a more important feature.

Permutation feature importance is a model-agnostic technique used to measure the importance of features

Baseline performance
 Feature shuffling
 Performance with shuffled feature
 Importance calculation
 Repeat for all features

Repeat steps 2-4 for each feature in your dataset to get an importance score for each feature.





```
newdf = newdf.dropna(axis=1)
print(newdf.shape)
corr_matrix = newdf.corr().abs()
upper = corr_matrix.where(np.triu(np.ones(corr_matrix.shape), k=1).astype(
to_drop = [column for column in upper.columns if any(upper[column] > 0.90)
newdf = newdf.drop(newdf[to_drop], axis=1)
print(newdf.shape)
Y = newdf['logBB']
X = newdf['logBB'], 'LgBB'], axis=1)
```

pls = PLSRegression(n_components=20)
pls.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred_test = pls.predict(X_test)
msetest = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_test)
r2test = r2_score(y_test, y_pred_test)

y_pred_train = pls.predict(X_train)
msetrain = mean_squared_error(y_train, y_pred_train)
r2train = r2_score(y_train, y_pred_train)

0.0s



MSE test: 0.12616 R2 test: 0.75701 MSE train: 0.16289 R2 train: 0.66275

from sklearn.inspection import permutation_importance

from sklearn.inspection import permutation_importance



PLS coefficients and the Permutation feature importance

most importante features = [] result = permutation importance(pls, X, Y, n_repeats=10, \ random state=42, n jobs=2, scoring='r2') pfi sorted idx = result.importances mean.argsort() #compute absolute values of the PLS coefficients coef = np.abs(pls.coef).flatten() #sort the coefficients sorted idx = np.argsort(coef)



```
OF CHE MODIC LUDOT CONC
plt.clf()
plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = [8, 8]
fis = [np.mean(result.importances[i].T) for i in pfi sorted idx]
cfs = [coef[i] for i in sorted idx]
plt.plot(cfs, fis, '-o', color='black')
plt.xlabel("PLS coefficients")
plt.ylabel("Permutation importances")
plt.title("Most important features ")
plt.show()
```





plt.clf() plt.rcParams fis = [np.mea cfs = [coef[: plt.plot(cfs plt.xlabel(" plt.ylabel(" plt.title("Mo plt.show()



- ML Introduction
- Unsupervised techniques
- Reinforcement Learning
- Supervised Techniques
 - LR and PLS and RF and GPR
 Deep Learning
 - NN
 CNN
 Interpretable ML
 Working Examples





Fitting a surface





TECHO



log₁₀(k) is the label

v, T are the two features

We want to test the performances of ttwo models NN and GPR



- Rate coefficients for vibrational energy transfer are calculated for collisions between molecular nitrogen and hydrogen in a wide range of temperature and of initial vibrational states
- The calculations were performed by a mixed quantum-classical method method

ML Goal Predict rate coefficients for vibrational energy transfer processes involving specific initial vibrational states, which are computationally expensive to calculate directly.

Qizhen Hong, Loriano Storchi, Massimiliano Bartolomei, Fernando Pirani, Quanhua Sun, Cecilia Coletti, "Inelastic N2+H2 collisions and quantum-classical rate coefficients: large datasets and machine learning predictions" The European Physical Journal D, DOI: 10.1140/epjd/s10053-023-00688-4 (2023

NN model unsinf Linear activation in input and output and ReLU

dense_input	input:	[(None, 2)]	
InputLayer	output:	[(None, 2)]	
			GPR using Matern Kernel
dense	input: (None, 2)	
Dense c	output: (None, 2)	V = 5/2 ==
dense_1	input:	(None, 2)	
Dense o	output: (None, 32)	HOLUG
8	•	48.	
dense_2	input: (None, 32)	
Dense o	output: (None, 64)	
	↓ Ì		$1 \qquad \left(\sqrt{2\nu} d(n-n)\right)^{\nu} V \left(\sqrt{2\nu} d(n-n)\right)$
dense_3 i	input: (None, 64)	$\kappa(x_i, x_j) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)2^{\nu-1}} \left(\frac{1}{\nu} a(x_i, x_j) \right) \kappa_{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{\nu} a(x_i, x_j) \right)$
Dense o	output: (1	None, 128)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	•		
dense_4 i	input: (1	None, 128)	
Dense o	utput: (None, 32)	
	•		
dense_5	input: (None, 32)	
Dense o	output:	(None, 1)	



Test set MSE values as a function of temperature: log₁₀ (k) values corresponding to a specific temperature T were removed from the training set and constitute the test set. The three panels correspond to processes (5) with $\triangle v = 1, 2, 3,$ respectively





The test set MSE values for the two models obtained by removing an increasing number of systematically selected

points, corresponding to specific v values, from the training set, i.e., Set1, removed v = [2; 4; 6; 8; 10; 14; 18; 22; 26; 30; 35], Set2, removed v = [1; 3; 5; 7; 9; 12; 16; 20; 24; 28; 32; 40], Set3, removed v = [2; 3; 5; 6; 8; 9; 12; 14; 18; 20; 24; 26; 30; 32], Set4, removed v = [1; 2; 4; 5; 7; 8; 10; 12; 16; 18; 22; 24; 28; 30; 35; 40]. The three panels correspond to processes (5) with $\Delta v = 1, 2, 3$, respectively



GPR △v = 1 ML Blue [points are the predicted ones, while the green points are the training set

RMSE and RMSE NN Avg. RMSE — GPR Avg. RMSE 0.100 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 1 vsplit 2 vsplit 3 vsplit 1 vset split 2 vset split 3 vset split Dv

Preliminary new results after a deeper grid search of better hyperparameters NN [64; 64; 64] batch 10 epochs 100 GPT Mattern Kernel v =

2



- **GRID program**: a computational procedure for determining energetically favourable binding sites on molecules for functional groups of known structure through the use of PROBES.
 - The PROBE is moved through a grid of points superimposed on the target molecule (to each atoms of the target and AtomType is assigned) . Its interaction energy with the target molecule is computed by an empirical energy function

$$E_{XYZ} = \sum [E_{LJ}] + \sum [E_{HB}] + \sum [E_{Q}] + [S]^{-L}$$
electrostatic function S= entropic term





We build PLS models, each model is related to a specific AT, to improve the quality of the Hydrogen-Bonding term E_{HR} that is the product of three terms terms:

E_r based on the distance between the target and the probe given in kcal/mol

 The other two, both ranging in the interval 0–1. They are dimensionless functions of the angles t and p made by the hydrogen bond (HB) at the target and the probe atoms respectively TECHOLUG



$Emin \rightarrow dEmin$

Er assumes relative values in case of interaction with a HB acceptor or donor complementary probe and is parametrized by two values: **Emin is the strongest hydrogen-bond attraction energy at the optimum position (Emin)**, and half of the straight-line distance between donor and acceptor atom pairs which corresponds to the strongest hydrogen-bond attraction energy (Rmin).

Sara Tortorella, Emanuele Carosati, Giovanni Bocci, Simon Cross, Gabriele Cruciani, Loriano Storchi, "Combining Machine Learning and Quantum Mechanics Yields More Chemically-Aware Molecular Descriptors for Medicinal Chemistry Applications", Journal of Computational Chemistry, DOI: 10.1002/jcc.26737 (2021)

The dataset is made of 66463 drug-like molecules

- We used GAMESS-US B3LYP/SVP (necessity of having a versatile basis set and method) to compute the Electrostatic Potential (EP) for each atom
- EP is converted to the so called dEmin value using linear equation derived so that for each AT all the resulting dEmin values always fall within an acceptable range

$$dEmin_{BH} = m_{BH} * EP + q_{BH}$$
.

22 equations, each one for each AtomType

The dEmin is our label

$$dEmin_{AH} = -m_{AH}*EP - q_{AH}$$
.



The red lines represent values of the traditional, static Emin of the GRID force field, namely -5.5 for N:= and -4.0 for N1 atom types. dEmin, dynamic Emin

Does chemically sound to use the dEmin in the the E_{HB} term ?

We decided to test the correlation of the proposed dEmin to those experimental hydrogen-bonding (HB) properties.

dEmin versus H-bond basicity scale for the Kenny dataset (279 atoms, R – Pearson = 0.85).



We have a good label, now we need to select the feature (descriptor) to use in the model The molecular environment is described by a tree-structured molecular fingerprint with a length of 10 bond distances

6

0	1	8	N 3H 122	
1	2	9	C.3 326 11	C.3 326
2	2	12	C.3 629 10	C.3 629
3	1	7	N.3 ar 1016	
4	1	5	C.ar+ 1250	
5	2	4	NPYM 1706	6 NPYM 1706
6	2	3	C.ar+ 1856	1 C.ar+ 185



Emin

We build PLS models, each model is related to a specific AT, to improve the quality of the Hydrogen-Bonding term E_{HB}

PLS

dEmin

EHB

Using this approach, 22 PLS models were built relating atomic environment to dEmin for the HB GRID atom types (some of the models results are reported validated using leave-one-out crossvalidation)

AT	Description	H-bond type	Atoms	LV	R ²	Q ²	SDEC (kcal/Mol)	SDEP (kcal/Mol)
N:	sp3 (tertiary) nitrogen, accepting one H-bond	А	6954	9	0.92	0.88	0.56	0.41
N1: 9	sp3 (secondary) nitrogen, donating one hydrogen and	А	3941	8	0.91	0.84	0.24	0.49
	accepting one H-bond	D	4776	7	0.96	0.92	0.30	0.53
N2:	sp3 (primary)nitrogen, donating up to two hydrogen and	А	3618	8	0.84	0.71	0.26	0.38
	accepting one H-bond	D	4895	7	0.95	0.92	0.30	0.41
ON	oxygen of nitro or nitroso group, accepting up to two H-bond	А	4907	8	0.82	0.69	0.26	0.38
N:=	sp2 (aromatic) nitrogen, accepting one H-bond	А	27,140	12	0.91	0.89	0.35	0.47
N::	sp2 nitrogen with two lone pairs and one double bond	А	472	4	0.89	0.59	0.23	0.12
N:#	sp nitrogen	А	15,798	10	0.72	0.66	0.29	0.32

More chemically aware force-field



The energy values of the isocontour surfaces chosen for H-bond donating probe ("N1," probe) was 4.0 kcal/Mol



DeepGRID

Two ingredients are needed:



Loriano Storchi, Gabriele Cruciani, Simon Cross, "DeepGRID: Deep Learning using GRID descriptors for BBB prediction", Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jcim.3c00768 (2023)


DATASET AND LABEL





Test Case: Blood Brain Barrier Permeation

• A model exists within VolSurf (PLS) – we have a baseline

We can investigate a number of modelling approaches: DeepGRID, Random Forest & PLS (using VS descriptors)

VolSur

• There are some larger publicly available datasets eg. LightBBB (7000 cpds)

Dataset Preparation

- VS-IgBB-332 dataset In-house dataset used to build the original VolSurf model
- Light-IgBB-416 dataset A subset of the 2105 dataset which had experimental logBB values
- Light-BBclass-2105 dataset Classification Generated from the Shaker/Parakkal LightBBB dataset of 7000+ structures
 - After filtering by InChI to remove duplicates 4285 compounds remained (-40%!)
 - Given that such a large proportion of the dataset contained duplicates we filtered also by Druglikeness to give 3464 compounds
 - 70% of the dataset removed due to duplicate InChI strings or diastereoisomerism

Dataset Splitting

For each dataset, subsets of compounds were randomly selected:

 Training Set: 60% - used to train the models
 Validation Set: 20% - used to select the best hyperparameters or to train the CNN
 Test Set: 20% - used as a final performance check

 The same sets were used for each model



DeepGRID Approach

GRAID descriptors calculated (normalised GRID MIFs, 8 channels) Descriptors fed into a Deep Learning CNN model



Note: in this case the training and validation sets were mixed so that different viewpoints of the same molecule were in training/validation, to allow the model to learn from the viewpoints

DeepGRID is alignment independent

Each molecule conformation centred within a grid cage 0,0,0 to 30,30,30 27 'Viewpoints' generated by rotating the molecule around each axis







DeepGRID Model

• 3 convolutional layers, drop out and max pooling

extracting features and reducing the dimensionality

Flattening layer
 3 dense layers and drop out before the final dense layer

Layer Arrangement in a CNN



Multilayer Perceptron (MLP)

OTHER MODELS AND FEATURES





DeepGRID Hyperparameters optimization

Volsurf Descriptors



Descriptors	Probes"			Decedering
	OH2		0	Description
v	х			Molecular volume
S	х			Molecular surface
POL				Polarizability
MW				Molar mass
HB1-HB8			х	Hydrogen bonding
A				Amphiphilic moment
BV	х		X	Best volumes
W1-W8	x			Hydrophilic regions
ID1-ID8		x		Hydrophobic integy moment
Cw1-Cw8	х			Capacity factor
D1-D8		х		Hydrophobic regions
CP				Critical packing
LOG P				logarithm of partition coefficient
DIFF				Diffusivity

* Blank, other ways of calculation. For deatails, see reference Cruciani et al. (2000).

DeepGRID vs RF and PLS models







Volsurf3 Descriptors

riptors	Probes*			Decederation	
	OH2	OH2 DRY 0		Description	
	х			Molecular volume	
	х			Molecular surface	
				Polarizability	
				Molar mass	
-HB8			х	Hydrogen bonding	
				Amphiphilic moment	
	х		х	Best volumes	
-W8	х			Hydrophilic regions	
-ID8		X		Hydrophobic integy moment	
-Cw8	х			Capacity factor	
DS		x		Hydrophobic regions	
				Critical packing	
p				logarithm of partition coefficient	
				Diffusivity	

Blank, other ways of calculation. For deatails, see reference Cruciani et al. (2000)

Extracted features used by the dense layers







VS-IgBB-332 Dataset



1

Light-IgBB-416 dataset is more diverse

More diverse \rightarrow more difficult \rightarrow all approaches give less accurate models



DeepGRID gives a robust model

Y-Scrambling the data affects the model, ie. It is not overfitting At 5% scrambling the Test MSE is only 17% worse. hence the approach is relatively robust to erroneous data



$Regression \longrightarrow Classification$

- The regression models for described can also be used for classification (BBB +/-)
- Compounds with experimental IgBB close to 0.0 may be ambiguous and misclassified
- In this case we measured the ROC AUC at varying thresholds on the **Test**



Classification: VS-IgBB-332 model

At a minimal threshold of 0.1, all models predict with >90% accuracy
The RF model is slightly better



Classification: Light-IgBB-416 model

- At minimal threshold of 0.1, all models predict with ~90% accuracy
- All models are fairly equal



Classification Models - Light-IgBB-2105 dataset

- New classification models were built using DeepGRID and Random Forest (with hyperparameter optimization)
 Initial attempts with DeepGRID kept stalling during learning
 Potentially due to data imbalance?
- The BBB- cpds were artificially augmented to bring the balance to 0.5:1
 - successful learnin

DeepGRID Classification Models - Light-IgBB-2105 dataset

AUC Full Set: 0.97 Test Set: 0.87



RF Classification Models - Light-IgBB-2105 dataset

AUC Full Set: 0.95 Test Set: 0.84



DeepGRID model the best for classification

All models classification performance (ROC-AUC) on the 2105 dataset



Clearance mechanism classification fro drugs two classes :

- Metabolic Clearance: This is the most complex mechanism, involving the biotransformation of drugs into more hydrophilic metabolites to facilitate excretion.(643 compounds)
- Renal Clearance: This mechanism involves the direct excretion of drugs in the urine, typically for small, hydrophilic compounds. (329 compounds)

I am using augmentation techniques

dropout 2. Dropou	input:	(None, 21, 21, 21, 24)					
dropout_2: Dropot	output	:: (None, 21, 21,	(None, 21, 21, 21, 24)				
634.c	ļ		14 10				
flatton: Flatton	input:	(None, 21, 21, 21	1, 24)	input_2: InputLayer		input	t: [(None, 44)]
Hattell, Flattell	output:	(None, 22226	(4)			outpu	it: [(None, 44)]
							_
	concetenate: Conceter		input: [(None		222264),	(None, 44	-)]
	concatent	concatenate: Concatenate		(None, 222308)			
1.							
		dance: Donce	input:	(None,	222308)		
		dense. Dense	output:	(Non	ne, 16)		
	batch normalization 3: BatchNormalization input: (None, 16)					δ)	

Two model are cocananated :
Model 1 is the CNN model
Model 2 is a simple input layer thata is getting the VS descriptors just before the flattening layer

Layer Arrangement in a CNN



VS descriptors are appended together with the output of the Convolutional layers in the flatten layer

AUC Test Set without VS: 0.83 With VS : 0.90





DeepGRID: try to understand how the CNN works

It is possible, although quite tricky, to dump the features as extracted by the Convolutional layers:





LR and features generation





Linear Regression

Linear Regression models predict a dependent variable (Y) based on independent variables (X). The relationship between the variables is assumed to be linear. Models are relatively simple and easy to interpret. **Common applications include** predicting sales, energy consumption, and other continuous values.

A key assumption is that the errors are normally distributed.



Methods, such as random forest (RF) or neural network (NN), are very efficient 36 but not always transparent, partially blurring the comprehension of the role played by the input variables in the final results

- Improvements toward the interpretability of such "black-box" ML models have been made through additional methodologies, such as model-agnostic methods (i.e., permutation feature importance)
- A ML-based approach to build sets of features (or descriptors) starting from a given set of basic variables (e.g., atomic properties), subsequently used to construct LR models (or formulas)

Inspired by the original work of Ghiringhelli et al. prediction of the difference in energy between RS [rocksalt\ and ZB; (zinc blende) from that optimization, a classification of the most stable crystal structure semiconductor AB binary compounds **(full dataset is made of 82 compounds)**

Udaykumar Gajera, <u>Loriano Storchi</u>, Danila Amoroso, Francesco Delodovici, Silvia Picozzi "Towards machine learning for microscopic mechanisms: a formula search for crystal structure stability based on atomic properties" Journal of Applied Physics, DOI: 10.1063/5.0088177 (2022)

С

7 Atomic Properties (APs)						
IP	Ionization potential					
EA	Electron Affinity					
номо	Highest occupied level					
LUMO	Lowest unoccupied level					
r_s	radii of s orbital					
r_p	radii of p orbital					
r _d	radii of d orbital					

а





For each possible model, calculate average of RMSEs for random N testtrain splits using Linear Regression $\Delta E = m \times \frac{f_1(AP_1) \pm f_2(AP_2)}{f_3(AP_3) \pm f_4(AP_4)} + q$ Selection of the best 10 formulas having the smallest RMSE for formula optimization $\Delta E = m \times \frac{a \times f_1(AP_1) \pm b \times f_2(AP_2)}{c \times f_3(AP_3) \pm d \times f_4(AP_4)} + q$ Top formulas to predict ΔE and further physical analysis

(a) Basic atomic properties (APs) used to construct the material features. (b) **Crystal structures of** RS and ZB (plot made using the VESTA tool). 62 Gray (yellow) spheres represent A (B) atoms. (c) Workflow for formula construction. machine-learning methodology, validation, and MF selection.

GEN1: combine two prototype functions in the numerator, forcing them to belong to the same kind of APs, which is both "spatial"-like or both "energy"-like; one prototype function is at the non-zero

GEN2: combine two prototype functions with the same kind of APs at the numerator and a single prototype function at the denominator with an argument of a different kind with respect o the denominator with the only constraint to be \sum_{ECH} numerator ones. For instance, if AP₁ in f₁ (AP₁) and AP_2 in f_2 (AP₂) are "energy" terms (i.e., EA or HOMO), then AP₃ must be a "spatial" term (i.e., r_n

$$MF = \frac{f_1(AP_1) \pm f_2(AP_2)}{f_3(AP_3)}.$$

GEN3: combine two prototype functions at both GEN4: combine two prototype functions with the the numerator and denominator without any same physical dimensions at both the numerator constraints, and denominator TECHOLUG $MF = \frac{f_1(AP_1) \star f_2(AP_2)}{f_3(AP_3) \star f_4(AP_4)},$ $MF = \frac{f_1(AP_1) \pm f_2(AP_2)}{f_3(AP_3) \pm f_4(AP_4)}.$ $\star = + - \times \div$.

$$\Delta E = m \times \frac{a \times f_1(AP_1) \bigstar b \times f_2(AP_2)}{c \times f_3(AP_3) \bigstar d \times f_4(AP_4)} + q,$$

GRID search, for each set of weight coefficients generated during the grid search, we also run the linear regression. Thus, we are performing a proper formula optimization, as at each step of the grid search, we are updating both the weight coefficients as well as the slope and intercept coming from the LR

Formula	avg (RMSE)	RMSE	R^2	Success rate (%)	Generator type
$0.127 imes rac{0.800 imes EA(B) - 1.000 imes IP(B)}{1.110 imes r_p(A)^2} - 0.352$	0.1457	0.1419	0.89	89	1D descriptor ⁵⁵
$-1.870 imes rac{0.801 imes \sqrt{r_p(B)} - 0.606 imes \exp[r_p(A)]}{1.010 imes r_p(A)^3} - 0.968$	0.1191	0.1143	0.93	91	GEN1
$0.477 imes rac{0.876 imes \sqrt{ HOMO(B) } + 0.468 imes \sqrt{ LUMO(B) }}{1.110 imes r_p(A)^2} - 0.372$	0.1340	0.1296	0.91	91	GEN2
$1.609 \times \frac{0.642 \times r_p(B) + 0.502 \times \sqrt{ r_d(A) }}{1.170 \times r_p(A)^2 + 1.170 \times r_p(B)^3} 0.309$	0.0991	0.0961	0.95	94	GEN3
$1.207 \times \frac{0.878 \times r_s(B) + 0.200 \times r_p(A)}{0.512 \times r_p(B)^3 + 0.610 \times r_p(A)^3} - 0.359$	0.1045	0.1016	0.94	99	GEN4

1D formulas after the optimization step, along with related statistics. Notation as in Table 1. RMSEs are in eV.

Total Number of generated formulas	Elapsed time (s) for 1D formula construction	Elapsed time (s) for formula optimization
106400	5117.32	180.84
67840	3338.93	181.54
1091200	51821.54	420.52
278106	13237.39	418.62
	Total Number of generated formulas 106400 67840 1091200 278106	Total Number of generated formulas Elapsed time (s) for 1D formula construction 106400 5117.32 67840 3338.93 1091200 51821.54 278106 13237.39

Time needed to generate the best 1D formula and perform its optimization. All the calculations have been performed in a PC equipped with an Intel Core i5-8500 processor and 16 GiB of RAM.
A Scoring Function

Predicting ligand-metalloenzyme binding affinity, focusing on human Carbonic Anhydrase II (hCA II) inhibitors. It combines fragment molecular orbital (FMO) and GRID approaches,

- FMO Calculations: FMO2 calculations were performed on reduced ligand-receptor
- complexes to assess binding energies and pair interaction energies.
- GRID Calculations: GRID was used to calculate hydrophobic interaction fields and quantify hydrophobic interactions.
- Dataset: A set of benzenesulfonamide ligands of hCA II was selected as a case study.

Roberto Paciotti, Nazzareno Re,Loriano Storchi, "Combining the Fragment Molecular Orbital and GRID Approaches for the Prediction of Ligandâ€"Metalloenzyme Binding Affinity: The Case Study of hCA II Inhibitors", Molecules, DOI: 10.3390/molecules29153600 (2024)

A Scoring Function

 $\Delta G = -7.4\{[0.7(logP)^3 - 0.5(e^{HIE-E})]/[0.5(F2LE)^3 - 0.4(HIE-E)^5]\} - 13$



A portion of the Ligand 2 structure connected to the benzenesulfonamide is polar compared to other ligands, which determines, in principle, a better interaction with water molecules. Thus, we hypothesize that the its binding pose in the experimental conditions assumed in the measurement of the Ki could be influenced by surrounding water molecules and be slightly different from that observed in the crystal structure.

A Scoring Function

 $\Delta G = -7.4 \{ [0.7(\log P)^3 - 0.5(e^{HIE-E})] / [0.5(F2LE)^3 - 0.4(HIE-E)^5] \} - 13$



To improve the binding affinity of the benzenesulfonamide there should be a certain balance between electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions in order to minimize the denominator and maximize maximize the binding affinity



Random Forrest and Permutation Feature Importance

Use the RF model not for prediction purpose but to detect how much a feature is important respect to the others. Two ingredients:

- The permutation feature importance is defined to be **the decrease in a model score when a single feature value is randomly shuffled**. This procedure breaks the relationship between the feature and the target, thus the drop in the model score is indicative of how much the model depends on the feature
- Random forests or random decision forests is an ensemble learning method for classification, regression and other tasks that operates by constructing a multitude of decision trees at training time

Leonardo Aragao, Elisabetta Ronchieri, Giuseppe Ambrosio5, Diego Ciangottini, Sara Cutini, Cristina Duma, Pasquale Lubrano, Barbara Martelli, Davide Salomoni, Giusy Sergi, Daniele Spiga, Fabrizio Stracci, Loriano Storchi "Air quality changes during the COVID-19 pandemic guided by robust virus-spreading data in Italy", to Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health, DOI: 10.1007/s11869-023-01495-x (2024)

Features

Feature name	Description	
Population Density	Population divided by province's area.	
Commuting Density	Percentage of commuters over population [8].	
Deprivation Index	Represents the multidimensionality of the social and material depresents concept [29] (calculated for the year 2012).	
Latitude	North–south geographic coordinate regarding the province's capital.	
Old-Young Ratio	umber of individuals aged 20 or less over the ones aged 65 and over.	
Avg. PM_{10}	Average concentration of PM_{10} during the whole study period.	
Avg. NO	Average concentration of NO during the whole study period.	
Avg. SO ₂	Average concentration of SO_2 during the whole study period.	





Results

104 Italian provinces analysed applying the Permutation Feature Importance Analysis to a set of different Random Forest models

The role of the pollutants seems not the most important

Details	RMSE	\mathbb{R}^2
All features	0.320	0.950
Latitude Removed	0.341	0.943
Latitude and Comm. Density removed	0.362	0.936



Thank You

Thank you for your attention. I welcome your questions. Please feel free to contact me. Email: loriano@storchi.org

